3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 831 /2004

PETITIONERS :-

1. Ayodhya Prasad Sinha, Aged about 59 years, son of Shri Late Amar Singh Sinha, Sub Engineer (E & M) , Sub Division Geeprel, M.R.P. Rudri, Dhamtari , District Dhamtari (C.G.).

2. B.R.Tadaiya, son of Shri G.Lal Tadaiya, aged about 52 years, Sub Engineer (E&M) Field Operation and Maintenance, Sub Division II M.R.P. Gangrel , Dhamtari, District Dhamtari C.G.

3. P.L.Soni son of Late Laxmi Prasad Soni, Sub Engineer (E & M) Sub Division CADA, Rudri, M.R.P. Dhamtari, District Dhamtari, Chhattisgarh.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh Through its Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur Chhattisgarh.

2. The Chief Engineer, Mahanadi Project, Department of Water Resources, Raipur Chhattisgarh.

3. ~~The Learned State Administrative Tribunal Bench at Raipur~~ Through its Secretary SAT Raipur Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

ONER OF OATHS
Smt. Savita Jha, Advocate, Raipur (M.P.)
P.R. No. 808/04 Presented by Shri Malay K. Bhaduri dated 16.3.04
RECEIVED COPY 16/3/04 Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur
Attested 7/5/04 A.P.(S)
Delay condoned. 26/4/04
SIONER O

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 331 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

26.04.2004

Shri Malay Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Yashwant Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Application for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to delete the name of respondent No.3.

Let the name of respondent No.3 be deleted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to seniority. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation afresh before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation afresh raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy after amendment is made.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge